

**REMARKS**

That action states that the reply filed on January 3, 2005 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because the reply contains references submitted as attachments. The Examiner further notes that listing references in a reply is not a proper information disclosure statement, and calls the Applicant's attention to 37 C.F.R. 1.98(b) as requiring a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office.

However, the documents submitted with and discussed in the previous response were not submitted as part of an IDS. Instead, those documents were submitted to support certain aspects of the Applicant's arguments concerning rejections of record. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that 37 C.F.R. 1.98(b) relating to the requirements of an IDS are not applicable to documents explicitly submitted for other purposes.

In particular, the Applicant submitted Attachments 1-3 to support use of the term "imbue" and to distinguish that term from coating, as used by *Mamish*. Please see the Remarks of the Fifth Response, commencing with the paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7 and including the first full paragraph on page 7.

The Applicant submitted Attachments 4 -6 to support the Applicant's position concerning the claimed basis weight of impregnation. Please see the paragraph bridging pages 8 and 9 of the Fifth Response.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that a listing of the documents submitted with the previous response is not required under 37 C.F.R. 1.98(b) as those documents were not submitted as part of an IDS.

S/N 09/755,884

As a courtesy to the Examiner, the undersigned is here submitting a PTO-1449 listing the aforementioned Attachments 1-5.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD



---

Roger T. Frost  
Reg. No. 22,176

Date: February 28, 2005

Merchant & Gould, LLC  
P.O. Box 2903  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903  
Telephone: 404.954.5100

